DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1255 TWENTY-THIRD STREET WASHINGTON, D. C. 20037

TELETHONE (208) 687-2500

FACSIMILE (202) 867-2900

CASLE "DOWLA"
TELEX 425546

DAVID E. MILLS

DIRECT DIAL NO.

A5714690

June 23, 1995

BY FACSIMILE FOIA(b)(3) - Fed. R. Crim. Pro. 6(e) - Grand Jury
Brett M. Kavanaugh, Esquire
Associate Independent Counsel
Office of the Independent Counsel
Suite 490-North
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.
/ /
Re: Whitewater Investigation
, me water an estimation
Dear Mr. Kavanaugh:
As you know, I have requested on behalf of a copy of
testimony before the grand jury 1 expect that will
be named in the Final Report to be issued by the Independent Counsel's Office in
connection with the second phase of the above investigation, as in the first
phase. I also expect that will testify in connection with the Senate's,
Special Committee to Investigate Whitewater Development Corporation and Related
Matters, on the same matters about which testified before the grand jury. I am
sure your Office appreciates the importance to any witness of having the opportunity to
review prior sworn statements before testifying under outh on the same subject before
a different governmental body.
As you explained, your Office has denied request for
own grand jury testimony (and will deny other witnesses' requests) because (1) your
investigation is not complete, and (2) you wish to avoid any appearance that the
Independent Counsel's Office is assisting witnesses in preparation for Senate hearings.
We disagree on the applicability of In Re Sealed Motion, 880 F.2d 1367 (D.C. Cir.
1989), which you contend does not apply because does not seek
transcript in connection with a "judicial proceeding." It is my position that both the

FOIA(b)(3) - Fed. R. Crim. Pro. 6(e) - Grand Jury
Brett M. Kavanaugh, Esquire
June 23, 1995
Page 2
issuance of a Final Report by the Independent Counsel and the hearings in the Senate
are "judicial proceedings" as that term is broadly defined.
In any event, I believe //, has a general right to a copy of
own testimony, and, as a matter of due process, should not be placed in a position
Will be examined under oath by the government on the symbol without system
prior testimony. This is true notwithstanding
is neither a subject nor a target of the grand income
investigation. Frankly, I do not understand the rationale for refusing to release to
a copy of own testimony, even while your investigation is on-going. If
nad any desire to disclose testimony, would be free to do so at
any time regardless of whether / had a copy of the transcript.
Please consider / request for a copy of testimony to be
continuing in nature, so that, in the event the Independent Course determines that its
investigation is complete, that a Final Report will be issued, or that grand jury
transcripts will be released for any other reason. I will be immediately notified and
provided a copy of transcript.
I appreciate your courtesy and timely attention to this matter.
and the timery attention to this matter.
Sincerely,

David E. Mills

DEM/igh